UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,514	04/13/2006	Gerald Lauer	2003P12200WOUS	3910
22116 SIEMENS COF	7590 11/26/200 RPORATION	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			BASICHAS, ALFRED	
170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		11/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/575,514	LAUER, GERALD	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Alfred Basichas	3743
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ship set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NO);	TE below);
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 	wable ii submilled in a separale,	umely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but on See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , ,	n condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (P13. ☐ Other:	1 O/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Alfred Basichas/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 3743

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that Takahara does not teach a first and second portion distribution of the fuel, but that Takahara merely teaches injecting fuel into premixture duct 55. Nevertheless, applicant is ignoring ports 56 that clearly distribute distinct portions of the fuel (see at least fig. 2)

Applicant further asserts that Takahara does not show the second portion of the mixture injected generally perpendicular to a direction of a hot combustion gas flowing away from the circulating mixture. As shown in figure 2 of Takahara the circulating mixture is shown by flows e and f. The hot combustion gas will inherently continue to the right where the middle and rightmost ports 56 are shown to direct the second portion of the fuel in a direction that is generally perpendicular to the direction of the flow of hot combustion gases.

Applicant further asserts that Takahara fails to recite the claimed range. Nevertheless, as recited in the rejection, Takahara clearly recites 20%, which falls into both ranges (see at least col. 2, lines 47-50).